



# CO<sub>2</sub> Freezer Testing

Presented by:

#### Malay Shah

malay.g.shah@nasa.gov

Jared Sass, Marty Grashik, Rene Formoso, Anne Meier, Jared Berg, Paul Hintze, Jon Bayliss, Rodolphe Carro, Arun Arora, Jonathon Gleeson









• 10 KW main power FC not shown (JSC)









- Capture only CO<sub>2</sub> by freezing
  - Ar & N<sub>2</sub> pass through
- Sublimate and store for future use
- Freezing Conditions:
  P = 7 Torr
  - T < 153 K (-120.15 °C)



Pressure-Temperature phase diagram for CO<sub>2</sub>.





- CO<sub>2</sub> accumulation rate requirements based on fuel production
- Small Scale:
  - Total of 0.088 kg/hr required for  $CH_4$  production
  - Cold heads running at optimal cycle time yielded around 0.054 kg/hr per cryocooler
  - Minimum 2 cryocoolers running in parallel
- Full Scale:
  - Targeting 1.1 kg/hr per cryocooler

Production Method	O <sub>2</sub> Production Rate (kg/hr)	%Conversion/ %Recycling	Required CO <sub>2</sub> Supply Rate (kg/hr)	Minimum # of Cryocoolers
O2 only, SOE	1.1	50 / 90	3.33	3
O2 only, SOE	1.1	50 / 0	6.1	6
Methane/Oxygen, Sabatier/Electrolysis	1.34	95 / 0	0.97	1



#### **Concept – Small Scale**







#### Concept – Small Scale







#### Concept – Small Scale









- Sunpower CryoTel GT cryocooler
  - ~37 W lift @ 150 K
  - 240 W input
  - External water cooling loop
  - Stirling cycle, helium working fluid
- Cold finger protrudes into freezing chamber
- Cold head mounted on cold finger with thermal grease, securing nut
- External chiller loop maintains 15°C rejection temperature
- Can be set to specific power
- Forced 1.2 SLPM and 7 Torr via vacuum pump











Design	Starburst	Ferris Wheel	Swirl/Branching	Tuning Fo	ork Starburst v2.0		
Volume Ratio	1.08	1.00	3.85	1.37	1.28		
Area Ratio	0.48	1.00	2.56	2.00	2.47		
Baseline							
Starburst	Ferris Wheel	Swirl/Bra 3D printed a	anching Tur	ning Fork	Starburst v2.0*		



# Cycle Schematic





- Temperature of system must be raised and lowered repeatedly
- Thermal mass of system determines time "lost" transitioning between operational temperatures



# **Cycle Duration Optimization**





	ork
	•
Mars	

- Given collection rate degradation over time and fixed time "costs" of cooling and heating cold heads, what is the optimal cycle (cool + freezing) time that leads to the highest average collection rate?
- Design that sacrifices early performance never recovers from "deficit"
- Plateau region can allow trades for energy efficiency or reducing power on/off cycles
- Assumption: 1:1 ratio between collection and sublimation phase



## Concept – Full Scale



- AFCryo STC90 cryocooler
  - ~300-400 W lift @ 150 K
  - ~3000 W input
  - External water cooling loop
  - Stirling cycle, helium working fluid
- Cold plate protrudes into freezing chamber
- Cold head mounted on cold finger with thermal grease, securing nuts
- Cannot be set to specific power
  - On/Off only
- Will pull Mars gas from large chamber
  - Closer to actual operation







Similar Surface Area to Lift and Volume to Lift ratio as Tuning Fork





# Concept – Full Scale







# **Current Status**



- Open Questions:
  - How does optimization curve change when considering faster freezing phase compared to sublimation phase?
  - Will the current heating configuration ensure dry ice sublimates consistently over time?
  - How linear are the lift ratios from small-scale to full?
    - Must dial in cold head to maintain temperatures above freezing point of  $Ar/N_2$
  - Does this method of operation allow non-condensing gases to be flushed away from cold head?
  - In multiple cryocooler configuration, can heat rejection be used effectively in sublimation phase?





# Backup





#### Mid-experiment disassembly to get visual of growth pattern on cold head



