TFAWS Passive Thermal Paper Session



Thermal Characterization of 3D Printed Lattice Structures Travis Belcher, NASA MSFC Greg Schunk, NASA MSFC

Presented By Travis Belcher

ANALYSIS WORKSHOP

&

THEANS

TFAWS LaRC 2019 Thermal & Fluids Analysis Workshop TFAWS 2019 August 26-30, 2019 NASA Langley Research Center Hampton, VA





- Lattice Structures
- Experiment Design
- Modeling Correlations
- Future Work

NASA





ECLSS 4-Bed Molecular Sieve (4BMS-X) Heater Plate

TFAWS 2019 - August 26-30, 2019





- Lattice Structures are repeating patterns which can be applied to Additively Manufactured (AM) parts
- Four lattice topologies were selected for assessment (1)
 - Dode Medium 13% Relative Density (%RD)
 - Diamond 20%RD
 - Octet Truss 30%RD
 - Rhombic Dodecahedron 20%RD
- Two unit cell sizes were down-selected
 - Coarse: 5mm
 - Fine: 2mm





Dode Medium (13%RD) Diamond (20%RD) Octet Truss (30%RD) Rhombic Dodecahedron (20%RD)

TFAWS 2019 - August 26-30, 2019





Advantages

- Reduced mass, retain stiffness
- Variable relative density and surface area
- Tailorable thermal conductivity (*k*) to specific applications

Limitations

- Computationally expensive for analytical modeling
- Limited material property data (traditional properties are unreliable)



Lattice Regen Chamber Demo



Early Modeling Attempts

- Steady State
- Dimensions
 - Width: 20mm
 - Length: 20mm
 - Thickness: 0.98mm
- Assumed Constant Aluminum Properties
 - k = 205 W/m-K
 - $C_{p} = 0.9 \text{ J/g-K}$
 - $\rho = 2700 \text{ kg/m}^3$



NA SY





Effective Thermal Conductivity (k_{eff})

$$k_{eff} = \frac{QL}{A\Delta T}$$

- Q Heat Flux
- A Cross-Sectional Area
- L- Length
- ΔT Differential Temperature

Thermal Diffusivity (α)

$$\alpha = \frac{k_{eff}}{\rho_{eff}C_p}$$

 C_p - Specific Heat Capacity

Effective Density (ρ_{eff})

$$\rho_{eff} = \frac{M_{model}}{V_{max}}$$

M_{model} - Mass of the model *V_{max}* - Volume of bounding envelope



Solid

Dode Medium

Dode Thick

Fin Type	Surface Area (mm²)	Volume (mm³)	Mass (g)	k _{eff} (W/m-K)	α (mm²/s)	Void Fraction
Solid	878	392.00	1.058	204.90	84.32	0.000
Dode Medium	934	49.96	0.135	11.04	35.64	0.873
Dode Thick	1240	97.54	0.263	22.54	37.28	0.751





Effective Thermal Conductivity vs. Void Fraction



TFAWS 2019 – August 26-30, 2019



Modeling Shortcomings

- Models which contain lattice only come in .stl (Standard Tessellated Language) format
 - .stl (Right) is a specialized file type for 3D Printers
 - Converts a CAD solid into a hollow shape bounded by triangles with a normal direction
- Computationally expensive
 - Radiation effects are difficult to usefully incorporate



.stl File Example (2)

- Convection/CFD has not been attempted, could be problematic
- Limited material property data (traditional properties are unreliable)



Experiment Design



- Internal funding was
 obtained at Marshall
 Spaceflight Center (MSFC)
 to experimentally measure
 the thermal conductivity
 through lattice structures
 and non-fully dense solids
- The experiment will create a capability unique to MSFC
- This experiment is currently in the design/procurement phase





- Well established standards are available to determine the *k* of homogeneous materials
 - ASTM E1225-13 Thermal Conductivity of Solids Using the Guarded-Comparative-Longitudinal Heat Flow Technique
 - ASTM D5470-17 Thermal Transmission Properties of Thermal Conductive Insulation Materials
- Measuring k through complex geometries/nonhomogenous materials has not been standardized
- Notable changes:
 - Much smaller samples (max ~30x30x30mm cube)
 - Samples will not be homogenous
 - No guard will be used (excessive with upper and lower meter bars)
 - Test will occur in vacuum (10⁻² to 10⁻³ torr) to mitigate convection
 - Meter bar conductivity will be selected based on estimated sample conductivity (not necessarily >50 W/m-K)



TFAWS 2019 - August 26-30, 2019



Experiment Design









- Heater
 - Several heaters are being considered
 - 20 watts(W) or less of power will be applied to the experiment
- Instrumentation
 - Meter bars and samples will be instrumented with at least three 4-wire RTDs
 - Chiller has built in temperature measurement and control to maintain 20°C±0.1 up to 250W
- Samples
 - At least three different sample thicknesses will be measured at least three times for repeatability and reliability



Modeling Correlations

- Correlated thermal math models of lattice structures are needed to inform the design and optimization of specific applications utilizing additive manufacturing.
- Depending upon the application, the thermal model may need to consider all modes of heat transfer: conduction, radiation and convection to a stationary or moving fluid.
- Radiation and convection may be computationally prohibitive for large or complex geometries.
- A simplified network method to model conduction through a lattice structure is illustrated.
- An individual lattice cell may be parsed into nodes and conductors. The nodes represent "junction" points where the beams that define the lattice structure meet.
- Temperature is computed via an energy balance at each node based on conductive heat transfer through the beams.







- Finish procurement
- Build test system/apparatus
- Verify system with sample of known conductivity
- Test initial samples
- Correlate model results with experimental data
- Numerous potential applications including Cryogenic Fluid Management and Nuclear Thermal Propulsion



References



- 1) Mireles, O. R. (2018). *Thermal, Fluid, Mechanical, and Microstructural Property Characterization of Additively Manufactured Lattice Structures*. Retrieved from: https://ntrs.nasa.gov/archive/nasa/casi.ntrs.nasa.gov/20 180006367.pdf
- 2) Working with File Types. (2019). Retrieved from: https://www.simplify3d.com/support/articles/workingwith-file-types/



Backup Slides







Square Perforated





302

294

Circle-X Perforated

TFAWS 2019 - August 26-30, 2019



Backup Slides





TFAWS 2019 – August 26-30, 2019