TFAWS Passive Thermal Paper Session





Novel Methods for Modeling Thermochromic Variable Emissivity Surfaces

Presented By Dr. Derek Hengeveld Redwire

Thermal & Fluids Analysis Workshop TFAWS 2023 August 21-25, 2023 NASA Goddard Space Flight Center Greenbelt, MD

TFAWS 2023 – August 21-25, 2023



Variable Heat Rejection Surfaces

- Alter view factors, adjust heat conduction path near surface, change emissive properties of surface
- Provide adaptable heat rejection and temperature regulation for spacecraft thermal engineers
- Examples
 - Macro-louvers
 - Micro-electro-mechanical systems (MEMS)
 - Electrostatic switched radiators (ESRs)
 - Electrochromic devices (ECDs)
 - Thermochromic Variable Emissivity Materials (VEMs)



ESA's Rosetta macro louvers



Electrochromic in bleached/colored

states

TFAWS 2023 - August 21-25, 2023



Thermochromic Variable Emissivity Materials (VEMs)



- Thermochromic variable emissivity materials (Lawdensky)
 - Temperature dependent, passive, variable emissivity modulation
 - Commonly Vanadium dioxide (VO2) thin film; with a solid-solid phase transition at 67C (340K). Transition is customizable (Barako).
 - Provides passive regulation of variable thermal loads
 - Provides reduced size and weight (<1 kg/m2 areal density)
 - No moving parts; low complexity
 - Provides reliable, passive, and adaptable heat rejection and temperature regulation for spacecraft thermal engineers
- In the (near?) future, thermochromic VEMs will become more mainstream
 - To promote adoption, need to accurately model them using traditional tools (e.g., Thermal Desktop®)



Bend radius < 10 cm Example VEM (Lawdensky)



Nominal emissivity vs. temperature curve 3

Thermochromic Variable Emissivity Materials (VEMs)





TFAWS 2023 - August 21-25, 2023



Method 0: Test Problem

NASA

- Used to compare modeling methods
- Spacecraft thermal model
 - Single-node sphere (100 J/K and radius of 0.132 m)
 - Non-uniform heat load. 75W for first 600 seconds;
 5W thereafter.
 - Solar reflecting surface with (α =0.2; ϵ =0.8)
 - Solar absorptivity assumed constant in this work!
- Environment
 - 500 km, β = 0° $\,$, circular orbit (5,677s period) with 0K heat sink
 - Direct solar flux, albedo fraction, and Earth IR values were 1,414 W/m², 0.3, and 239.7 W/m²
 - 14 orbital positions



Method 1: Radiation Conductor

- Approach
 - Uses a node-to-surface conductor
 - Temperature-dependent optical property ____
 - Create emissivity-independent Heating Rate Radiation Tasks
- Restrictions
 - If radiation is to a sink only (e.g., deep space) and no other surfaces
 - There are no emissivity-dependent environmental heating sources (e.g., Earth IR). Not true for our test problem! Method 1b uses Dynamic SINDA to account for this.
- This is likely the least computationally expensive method but can only be used Earth IR corrected; varies. 3 in select circumstances



1200

2400

time [sec]

220

210

200

130

120

110

100

Heatload [W]

90

80

70

60

50

40

30

20

10

130

120

110

100

90

80

70

60

50

40

30

20

10

Ω

.

3600

4800

Heatload

 \leq

0

TFAWS 2023 – August 21-25, 2023

Single Node

Spherical

Spacecraft

©2023 Redwire. DISTRIBUTION A: Approved for public release: distribution is unlimited. Public Affair

Method 2: Dynamic SINDA

- Approach
 - Leverages Dynamic SINDA (a connection between SINDA and Thermal Desktop)
 - Temperature-dependent optical property
 - Periodically pause current SINDA _ solution, update radiation jobs (e.g., Radk and Heating Rates), then resume
 - Based on VEM temperatures •
- This is the most versatile method and can be applied to nearly all situations but can be computationally expensive
- Includes 2 methods: With (Method 2b) and without (Method 2a) Orbital Slicing

TFAWS 2023 – August 21-25, 2023

Initialization

Calculate Tour

<Т_{то}

SINDA engine

Simulation End

New radiation job

Calculate ToLD

Calculate

TNEW-TOLD

2b

2a

Output

Timestep

>T_{TOL}

No

Orbital

slicing

update

Yes

©2023 Redwire. DISTRIBUTION A: Approved for public release: distribution is unlimited. Public Affairs re

Wavelength Dependen	t			
Solar				
Absorptivity:	0.2	Edit Table	Vs. Angle	Vs. Temperature
Transmissivity:	0	Edit Table	Vs. Angle	
Specularity:	0	Edit Table	Vs. Angle	
Transmissive Specularity:	0	Edit Table	Vs. Angle	
Refractive Indices Ratio:	1			
nfrared				
Emissivity:	1	Edit Table	Vs. Angle	√Vs. Temperature
Transmissivity:	0	Edit Table	Vs. Angle	
Specularity:	0	Edit Table	Vs. Angle	
Transmissive Specularity:	0	Edit Table	Vs. Angle	
Refractive Indices Ratio:	1			

Edit Optical Property - Var_e

Sample Thermal Desktop inputs



Cancel

Help

OK

Set Color

Method 2: Dynamic SINDA (Orbital Slicing)

Dynamic SINDA

- Computationally expensive. When radiation jobs are updated ALL orbital positions (i.e., 14 in our example) are recalculated)
- Only local orbital positions are needed (i.e., 2 instead of 14)
- More complex code





©2023 Redwire. DISTRIBUTION A: Approved for public release: distribution is unlimited. Public Affair





Method 3: Radiation Database

NASA

- Approach
 - Create pre-run Radks and Heating Rates across multiple temperature bands that capture the VEM properties
 - For each band: Create constant optical properties; Create Radiation Analysis groups; Create unique Case Sets
 - Control temperature bands with logic and insert files
- Do all the computationally expensive ray tracing ahead of time
- Not as versatile as Dynamic SINDA method (e.g., difficult to handle multiple VEM surfaces facing each other). However, less computationally expensive.



TFAWS 2023 - August 21-25 Method 3_0_270K/HR_0_270K/hrl

©2023 Redwire. DISTRIBUTION A: Approved for public release: distribution is un For heatrates, radks, or BCM files that use Binary output that are not in the SINDA input directory, please add their path to the Set



Method Comparison

- Recall
 - Method 0: Nominal (constant ε)
 - Method 1b: Radiation conductor (with Dynamic SINDA) _____
 - Method 2a: Dynamic SINDA (without orbital slicing) —
 - Method 2b: Dynamic SINDA (with orbital slicing) —
 - Method 3: Radiation Database
- All methods above were compared
 - Method 1b used as control; good agreement with one another; +/-1.5° C
 - Some differences. Likely a result of temperature band resolution and differences in orbital positions





Conclusions and Future Work

NASA

- Thermochromic VEMs could provide temperature dependent, passive, and reliable heat modulation for future spacecraft
- Three novel modeling methods were developed for transient simulations in Thermal Desktop
 - Methods agreed within +/-1.5 $^{\circ}$ C

- No single 'best' method'; depends on application
- Future work
 - Improvements to algorithms
 - Extend to steady-state
 - Evaluate against more complex problems

Method	Description	Application	Computational Cost
0	Traditional	Fixed emissivity problems only	Low (10 seconds)
1a	Radiation Conductor (without Dynamic SINDA)	Single VEM surface without spacecraft IR (emissivity-dependent) environmental heating	Low (10 seconds)
1b	Radiation Conductor (with Dynamic SINDA every 2 seconds)	Single VEM surface with spacecraft IR (emissivity-dependent) environmental heating	Extremely High (2,196 seconds)
2a	Dynamic SINDA (using T_{tol} but not orbital slicing)	Any number of VEM surfaces; any heating environment	High (162 seconds)
2b	Dynamic SINDA (using T_{tol} and orbital slicing)	Any number of VEM surfaces; any heating environment	Medium-High (146 seconds)
3	Radiation Database	Single VEM surface with emissivity-dependent environmental heating	Medium-Low (20 seconds)

TFAWS 2023 – August 21-25, 2023



Acknowledgments and References

- NASA
- This work is in support of the Space Power InfraRed Regulation and Analysis of Lifetime (SPIRRAL) experiment by the Air Force Research Laboratory, Space Vehicles Directorate, Kirtland AFB, NM (AFRL/RV) (Public Affairs release approval #AFRL-2023-3179). The views expressed are those of the author and do not necessarily reflect the official policy or position of the Department of the Air Force, the Department of Defense, or the U.S. government.
- The authors would also like to thank Doug Bell and Ansys/C&R Technologies for their support in developing these methods.
- References



- Hengeveld, D.W., et al., Review of modern spacecraft thermal control technologies. HVAC&R Research, 2010. 16(2): p. 189-220.
- Barako, M.T., et al., Evaluating Variable-Emissivity Surfaces for Radiative Thermal Control. Journal of Thermophysics and Heat Transfer, 2022: p. 1-12.
- Panczak, T.D., et al., TD Suite Manual, in Version 6.2. 2021, C&R Technologies, Inc.
- Yost, B., et al., State-of-the-art small spacecraft technology. 2021.
- AFRL. Space Power Beaming. 2022 [cited 2023 January 30]; Available from: https://afresearchlab.com/technology/space-power-beaming.