TFAWS Passive Thermal Paper Session

&

ANALYSIS WORKSHOP

TFAWS

GSFC • 2023

JERNAS,



Consolidation of a Sodium Heat Pipe and Stirling Engine for Fission Surface Power

Greeta J. Thaikattil¹, James L. Sanzi², Daniel Goodell¹, Christopher Barth¹, Marc Gibson³ ¹NASA Glenn Research Center, ²HX5, LLC, ³Gibson Engineering

> Presented By Greeta Thaikattil

Thermal & Fluids Analysis Workshop TFAWS 2023 August 21-25, 2023 NASA Goddard Space Flight Center Greenbelt, MD

This manuscript is a joint work of employees of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration and employees of HX5, LLC. under Contract No. 80GRC020D0003with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration. The United States Government may prepare derivative works, publish, or reproduce this manuscript and allow others to do so. Any publisher accepting this manuscript for publication acknowledges that the United States Government retains a non-exclusive, irrevocable, worldwide license to prepare derivative works, publish, or reproduce the published form of this manuscript, or allow others to do so, for United States government purposes."





- Background/Purpose
- Consolidated Heat Pipe (CHP) design
- Test Setup
- Test Matrix
- Results
- Conclusion/Forward Work
- References
- Questions



Background



- The Kilopower Reactor Using Stirling TechnologY (KRUSTY) Test¹
 - First fission reactor test for space application in over 50 years
 - Collaboration between NASA and the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE)
 - Built and tested at the Nevada National Security Site (NNSS) in 2018
 - Highly Enriched Uranium (HEU) provided thermal power to Stirling engines
 - An array of 2 Stirling engines and 6 thermal simulators
 - Heat Pipes were used to couple the reactor to the Stirling engines







Figure 3.—Kilopower Reactor Using Stirling TechnologY test hardware assembled inside vacuum chamber service collar and positioned upside down with Stirling engines and simulators (lower) connected to highly enriched uranium core (upper) via sodium heat pipes.

TFAWS 2023 – August 21-25, 2023





KRUSTY Heat Pipes¹

- Bolted/clamped to the "hot end" of the Stirling engine
- ΔT is 160 $^{\circ} C$ between core and Stirling engine hot end
- Significant portion, 120 $^\circ C$, is between the heat pipe itself and the hot end
- Clamp design carries notable thermal losses that need to be addressed







Delta T noted between Engine Hot End and adiabatic section of Heat Pipe

Clamp Design





- CHP improves the thermal interface between heat pipe & Stirling engine hot end
 - Stirling Heater Head, "hot end", is buried within the heat pipe's condenser
 - Working fluid can condense directly on the hot end
 - Directly delivers thermal power to Stirling engine
 - An annular pipe inside is used as wick to enable lower gravity operations
 - Designed to operate at 700 °C and 800 °C Sodium as working fluid







Test Setup



Stirling Engine Control

- Hot end temperature -> Tube Furnace
- Cold end temperature -> PolyScience chiller
- Stirling engine piston amplitude -> Sunpower Controller
- Charge Pressure
 - Stirling engines are not hermetically sealed and so require helium top-offs
 - Top-offs completed via Fill-Purge cart located behind the center rack.
- Mounting
 - Koawool insulation used for heat pipe exposed to ambient air
 - Single engine vertical orientation
 - Protective cage







Three Main Test Sections

- Hot end temp -> 700 °C , Cold end temp -> 60 °C , piston amplitude varied from 3-5 mm
- Hot end temp -> 800 °C , Cold end temp -> 60 °C , piston amplitude varied from 3-5 mm
- Piston amplitude -> 5 mm, Cold end temp -> 60 °C , Hot end temp decreased from 600 800 °C (25 °C increments)

Hot End Temperature	600 °C - 800 °C
Piston Amplitude	3 mm to 5 mm
Cold End Temperature	60 °C
Stirling Engine Pressure	500 psig (Helium working fluid)



Results



• Test Section 1: 700 °C Hot End Temperature, Varied Piston Amplitude

- ΔT between heat pipe adiabatic and condenser has been lowered to 2 °C at 5 mm!!
- Heat pipe is able to deliver thermal power to Stirling hot end directly
- Evaporator to Condenser ΔT (light blue curve): ranges from 27-58 °C
 - The "knee" between 4.5- 5mm: no known root cause for this change in behavior
 - Another similar test point indicates there would be no "knee" (Table to the right)
 - Further testing needed: different heating ramp rates, vacuum environment, better insulation, etc.



	1 st Test Point	2 nd Test Point
Hot End Temperature	700 °C	700 °C
Piston Amplitude	5 mm	5 mm
Cold End Temperature	0° C	60 °C
Stirling Engine Pressure	500 psig	493 psig
Stirling Power Output	89 W	90 W
Adiabatic to Condenser	2 °C	6 °C
Evaporator to Condenser	58 °C	40 °C

CHP w/ Wick: 700 C Hot End Temp



Results



- Test Section 2: 800 °C Hot End Temperature, Varied Piston Amplitude
 - ΔT between heat pipe adiabatic and condenser has been lowered to 4 °C at 5 mm!!
 - Adiabatic to Condenser ΔT -> negative between 3 mm to 3.5 mm
 - Caused by chiller failure that led to an abrupt shutdown
 - · Heat pipe working fluid froze at the condenser
 - · Had to manually heat the condenser to bring the fluid back down to the evaporator
 - Overall Evaporator to Condenser ΔT is stable, ranges from 22-34 $^\circ C$



CHP w/ Wick: 800 C Hot End Temp



Results



- Test Section 3: 5 mm Piston Amplitude, Varied Hot End Temperature
 - Hot End decreased from 800 °C to 600 °C in 25 °C increments
 - Adiabatic to Condenser ΔT ranges 6-48 $^\circ C$
 - Overall Evaporator to Condenser Δ T ranges 34-82 °C







- Consolidated Heat Pipe design is successful!
 - Compared to KRUSTY's 120 °C ∆T, CHP shows 2-4 °C ∆T between the Stirling Engine Hot End and Heat Pipe
- Forward Work
 - The CHP will be tested in a vacuum environment for further investigation
 - Overall Evaporator to Condenser ∆T anomaly in the 700 °C test needs to be investigated further
 - Overall Evaporator to Condenser ∆T might also be further reduced for all test conditions with a better environment (vacuum) and insulation



References



1. Gibson, Marc A., et al. "Kilopower Reactor Using Stirling TechnologY (KRUSTY) Nuclear Ground Test Results and Lessons Learned." 2018.

2. Poston, David I., et al. "Results of the KRUSTY Nuclear System Test." Nuclear Technology (2020): S89-S117.





NASA