TFAWS Passive Thermal Paper Session





Additively Manufactured Ceramics for High-Temperature Heat Rejection Systems Giancarlo D'Orazio and Sadaf Sobhani Cornell University, Ithaca, NY



Presented By Giancarlo D'Orazio

Thermal & Fluids Analysis Workshop TFAWS 2023 August 21-25, 2023 NASA Goddard Space Flight Center Greenbelt, MD



- AdVECT
 - Novel, high temperature heat pipes
 - Leverage AM
 - Consolidate parts
 - Complex internal structures
 - Decrease system mass
 - Increase working fluid compatibility









- 500-600 K operating regime
 - Operating temp above conventional Ti/AI & water/ammonia systems
- Low mass (< 2 kg/m²)
 - Additively manufactured (AM) ceramics reduce part density
- Potential Working Fluids
 - Halides
 - Iodine
 - Aluminum chloride
 - Aluminum bromide
 - Iron (III) chloride
 - Eutectics
 - Dowtherm A
- TRL 3 development goal





Additively Manufactured Ceramics

- Three primary fabrication methods
 - Binder Jetting
 - Low resolution (50-200 µm layers,
 - Requires large ceramic particles (generally ≥ 50 µm), porous
 - Direct Ink Writing
 - Low resolution (minimum feature size tip dependent, generally > 100 μm, > 50 μm layer height)
 - Can use small or large ceramic particles
 - Digital Light Processing (DLP)/Stereolithography
 - High resolution (≤ 50 µm minimum feature size, ≤ 50 µm layer height)
 - Small ceramic particles (< 1 µm)



Image: WZR Ceramic Solution INCHES zlu, hudmihudmih



Digital Light Processing



- Admatec Admaflex 130 DLP
 Printer
 - Exposes photosensitive resin with ceramic particles in suspension
 - 405 nm wavelength
 - 50 µm resolution, 20 µm layer height
 - 54 x 96 x 100 mm build volume
 - > 99% final part density







Wick Design

- AM enables arbitrary complexity
 - Stochastic



- Functional lattice (triply periodic minimal surfaces)
- Strut-based
- Combination of the above





- High Thermal Conductivity
 - $> 120 \text{ W/m} \cdot \text{K}$
- Moderate to High Flexural Strength
 - > 500 MPa
- Low Bulk Density
 - 3.26 g/cm³
- Outstanding Thermal Shock Resistance
 - >900° C
- High Melting Point
 - ~2200° C
- Excellent Chemical Resistance
 - Wide range of potential working fluids





	Composition (wt %)	Sintering Temperature (°C)	Flexural Strength (MPa)	$\begin{array}{c} {\rm Thermal} \\ {\rm Conductivity} \\ {\rm (W/m{\cdot}K)} \end{array}$
Duan et al. 2020	$\begin{array}{c} 95:5\\ \text{AlN:Y}_2\text{O}_3 \end{array}$	1845	265 ± 20	155
Ożóg et al. 2020	$\begin{array}{c} 90:6:4\\ \text{AlN}:Y_2\text{O}_3:\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3\end{array}$	1800	Not tested	4.34
Lin et al. 2022	$\begin{array}{c} 100:5\\ \text{AlN:Y}_2\text{O}_3 \end{array}$	1850	365-400	135-150 (appr)
Rauchenecker et al. 2022	$\begin{array}{c} 96:3:1\\ \mathrm{AlN}:\mathrm{Y}_{2}\mathrm{O}_{3}:\mathrm{CaO} \end{array}$	1700	320-498	162.1-166.2
Lee and Kim 2014 [*] (Basis for this work)	$\begin{array}{c} 98{:}1{:}1\\ \text{AlN:}\text{Y}_2\text{O}_3{:}\text{CaZrO}_3 \end{array}$	1600	579	120

* Conventional ceramics





- 60%/vol Admatec Development Resin C
 - Water-soluble acrylate resin with BAPO photoinitiator
- 40%/vol ceramic solids

- 98%/wt Höganäs Grade C AIN powder

- Specific Surface Area : 1.8 3.8 m²/g
- Particle Size: 0.8 2.0 micron
- 1%/wt Y₂O₃ nanopowder
 - Particle Size: 10 nm
- 1%/wt CaZrO₃ nanopowder
 - Particle Size: 40 nm
- Ceramics mixed with 1%/wt with Hypermer KD1 Dispersant



AlN Grade C Image: Höganäs GmbH





High refractive index materials challenging to print

$$\boldsymbol{D_{cure}} \approx \frac{C}{\Phi} \cdot \boldsymbol{d_{part}} \left(\frac{n_0}{\Delta_n} \right)^2 \cdot ln \left(\frac{I}{I_0} \right)$$

- d_{part} encompasses MFP of light
- Resin refractive index $(n_0) = 1.46$
 - $n_{AI2O3} = 1.786 n_0 = \Delta_n = 0.326$
 - $n_{AIN} = 2.110 n_0 = \Delta_n = 0.650$
 - ~ 4 times higher intensity for AIN at same D_{cure}

C = constant (e.g. light wavelength)				
D_{cure} = depth of cure				
d_{part} = particle diameter				
I = light intensity				
I_0 = light intensity to cure resin				
n_0 = resin refractive index				
Δ_n = difference between refractive index of				
n_0 and ceramic				
ϕ = volumetric particle loading				





- Rheometry testing confirms adherence to printer specifications
 - Shear thinning
 - Doctor blade, peristaltic pump
- Increasing volumetric solid loading $(\Phi) \rightarrow$ shear thickening, decreased D_{cure}
- Decreasing $\Phi \rightarrow$ low final part density, increased D_{cure}







- TGA run in N_2 and air
- Informs debinding curve
- Notable mass gain in air nearing 700C
 - Oxynitride formation from 550-900C
 - Oxidation > 900C
- Initial processing in air, switch to N₂ atmosphere for future work







- TGA run in N_2 and air
- Informs debinding curve
- Notable mass gain in air nearing 700C
 - Oxynitride formation from 550-900C
 - Oxidation > 900C
- Initial processing in air, switch to N₂ atmosphere for future work





Printing Results



- Initial prints show good
 interlayer adhesion
 - Some overdevelopment, loss of fine features compared to CAD model in Fig. A
 - Fig. C shows asexposed layer





Printing Results



- Initial prints show good
 interlayer adhesion
 - Some overdevelopment, loss of fine features
- Tailored print settings
 decrease overall light dose
 - ~586 mJ/cm² \rightarrow 414 mJ/cm²
 - 36 µm $D_{cure} \rightarrow$ 34 µm D_{cure}
 - Ideal: 40 µm D_{cure}
 - Fine features preserved





Small features well defined



Wick structure not overexposed



Clean layer lines



Sintering Results

- ASTM C1161 flexural bending beams sintered after air debind
 45 x 4 x 3 mm
- Two part sintering at 1600° C (3 hrs) and 1400° C (2 hrs) in N2 atmosphere
- Good part density but lower shrinkage than conventional

 ~12% observed, 30% typical
- Oxide formation
 - YAG, YAM, and YAP phases, ambient oxidation from processing







- Surface roughness of as-printed alumina ceramics measured via optical profilometry
 - Not AIN, but considered representative
- AIN emissivity ~0.87 in literature
 - Testing forthcoming on properly sintered samples
- High roughness along layer lines (from radiation perspective)
 - S_q ≈ 2.07 μm > 0.780-1.400 μm ($λ_{IR}$)
- Emissivity characterization planned for as-printed





Surface Characterization

- Surface roughness of as-printed ceramics measured via optical profilometry (alumina test print)
- High roughness along layer lines (from radiation perspective)
 - − $S_q \approx 2.07 \ \mu m > 0.780 \text{--} 1.400 \ \mu m \ (\lambda_{IR})$
- Emissivity characterization planned for as-printed





- Working fluid compatibility testing
 - AlBr₃, AlCl₃, FeCl₃, I₂, Dowtherm A
- Wick rate of rise testing
- Improved debind & sintering programs
- Scale heat pipe testing in atmosphere & vacuum



- Demonstration of AIN printing
- TGA performed, curves in air and N₂ developed
- Initial sintering conducted
 - Requires increased N_2 flow & pressure
- High resolution parts demonstrate fine feature
- Material compatibility testing currently ongoing





- D. B. Sarraf and W. G. Anderson, "Heat Pipes for High Temperature Thermal Management." American Society of Mechanical Engineers Digital Collection, Jan. 2007, pp. 707–714.
- P. Ożóg, P. Rutkowski, D. Kata, and T. Graule, "Ultraviolet lithography-based ceramic manufacturing (uv-lcm) of the aluminum nitride (aln)-based photocurable dispersions," 12 Materials, vol. 13, no. 19, 2020.
- W. Duan, S. Li, G. Wang, R. Dou, L. Wang, Y. Zhang, H. Li, and H. Tan, "Thermal conductivities and mechanical properties of AIN ceramics fabricated by three dimensional printing," Journal of the European Ceramic Society, vol. 40, no. 10, pp. 3535–3540, Aug. 2020.
- L. Lin, H. Wu, P. Ni, Y. Chen, Z. Huang, Y. Li, K. Lin, P. Sheng, and S. Wu, "Additive manufacturing of complexshaped and high-performance aluminum nitride-based components for thermal management," Additive Manufacturing, vol. 52, p. 102671, Apr. 2022.
- J. Rauchenecker, J. Rabitsch, M. Schwentenwein, and T. Konegger, "Additive manufacturing of aluminum nitride ceramics with high thermal conductivity via digital light processing," Open Ceramics, vol. 9, p. 100215, Mar. 2022.
- H. M. Lee and D. K. Kim, "High-strength AIN ceramics by low-temperature sintering with CaZrO3–Y2O3 coadditives," Journal of the European Ceramic Society, vol. 34, no. 15, pp. 3627–3633, Dec. 2014.



• The authors would like to thank:

William Sixel Zachariah Chazen Elaine Petro Andrew van Paridon for their support with this work.

 The authors acknowledge the use of facilities and instrumentation supported by NSF through the Cornell University Materials Research Science and Engineering Center DMR-1719875



CornellEngineering Sibley School of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering